Dear Bill,

The proofs reached me only on Saturday, after 12, shortly before I left the Institute. They had been sent by the CUP to an insufficient address (the same given in the paper) and were delayed in the mails; there was obviously nothing more I could do about the proofs myself, but I trust that the letter I sent you , containing a list of corrections, has reached you in time. Just before the proofs reached me I had a letter from Joshua, who had already received them, and mentioned the seriours misprint in title: Ineffective instead of infective. He said to had sent back to editor the proofs corrected of this and some minor typographical misprints, maximum and had added that the corrections of the proofs had to go through me. I hope there has been no confusion with all this; could you let me know something at your convenience!

I wanted to wire to the editor about the misprint in title to make sure that at least this would be corrected, but not knowing whether I should write to Dr. Standfast or to the CUP I have done nothing of it.

I have nothing interesting to report from the laboratory, for the time being. *
Yours

* I hop to ham get a new Faguet but am not you of the